



The Open Nursing Journal

Content list available at: <https://opennursingjournal.com>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Adherence to Self-Care Behaviors and Associated Factors among Adult Heart Failure Patients Attending Chronic Follow-Up Care at Jimma University Medical Center, Southwest Ethiopia

Temesgen Mulugeta^{1,*}, Desalegn Duguna¹, Azmeraw Bekele² and Belachew Umeta³

¹Jimma University, Institute of Health, School of Pharmacy, Clinical Pharmacy Unit, Jimma, Oromia, Ethiopia

²Jimma University, Institute of Health, School of Pharmacy, Social Pharmacy Unit, Jimma, Oromia, Ethiopia

³Jimma University, Institute of Health, School of Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance and Regulatory Affairs Unit, Jimma, Oromia, Ethiopia

Abstract:

Background:

Optimal outcomes and quality of life in patients with heart failure (HF) depend on effective self-care activities. However, patients may experience difficulties, and their performance may be inconsistent.

Aim:

To determine the level of adherence to self-care behaviors and associated factors among adult HF patients attending chronic follow-up care at Jimma University Medical Center (JUMC).

Methods:

A cross-sectional study was conducted between August and September 2021. Data were collected through face-to-face interviews and by reviewing patients' medical records. The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 25 and the findings were presented in frequency, percentage, mean (SD), and median (IQR). Multivariate logistic regression was performed to determine factors associated with adherence to self-care behaviors. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals and p-values were used to report the findings.

Results:

Out of 266 HF patients, 50.0% had good adherence towards self-care behavior recommendations. The highest following recommendation was taking medication as prescribed (75.5%), followed by a dietary recommendation of a low-salt diet (45.2%). Participants who could not read and write (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 0.30 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.13, 0.71), P = 0.006), had an illness duration greater than or equal to ten years (AOR = 0.31 (95% CI 0.12, 0.82), P = 0.02), in the NYHA class II HF (AOR = 0.33 (95% CI 0.15, 0.73), P = 0.007) were negatively associated with good adherence to self-care behavior recommendations.

Conclusion:

In this study, only half of the respondents had good adherence to self-care behaviors. Lower educational level, longer illness duration, and NYHA class II HF were predictors of poor adherence to self-care. Therefore, nurses should devise strategies to counsel or educate the HF patients on self-care practice recommendations, particularly patients with a lower education level and who lived longer with HF.

Keywords: Adherence, Adherence score, Self-care behaviors, Self-care maintenance, Adults, Heart failure.

Article History

Received: January 13, 2022

Revised: February 08, 2022

Accepted: March 10, 2022

1. BACKGROUND

Heart Failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome characterized by cardinal signs and symptoms (breathlessness, ankle swelling,

fatigue, elevated jugular venous pressure, pulmonary crackles, and peripheral edema). In 2021, the incidence of HF in Europe was approximately 3/1000 person-years (all age groups) or 5/1000 person-years in adults [1]. Approximately 6 million American adults aged ≥ 20 years had HF according to data from 2015 to 2018 [2]. With aging population, the prevalence of HF has risen dramatically in recent decades [3]. However, in

* Address correspondence to this author at the Jimma University, Institute of Health, School of Pharmacy, Clinical Pharmacy Unit, Jimma, Oromia, Ethiopia; E-mail: temesgenmulugetaf@gmail.com

Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), unlike high-income countries, HF is a disease of young and middle-aged adults with attendant high disability-adjusted life years. In SSA, HF accounted for 9.4–42.5% of all medical admissions and 25.6–30.0% of admissions into cardiac units [4].

Patient education and support for HF patients are needed to improve their self-care behaviors and are the goal of many HF management programs [5]. Self-care is essential for the long-term management of chronic heart failure. It can be defined as the process of maintaining health through health-promoting and preventive practices [6]. HF-related self-care behavior reflects the actions that HF patient undertakes to maintain life, healthy functioning, and wellbeing. Self-care includes behaviors such as adherence to medication, diet, and exercise, as well as self-management of symptoms; however, it also refers to behaviors such as daily weighing to assess fluid retention and seeking assistance when symptoms occur [5]. Literature indicates that patients with HF who have more effective self-care have better quality of life, and lower mortality and readmission rates than those with lower levels of self-care [6].

Worldwide, self-care behaviors are suboptimal in HF patients [7]. In a study by Sedlar *et al.* from Slovenia, more than half (51%) of the HF patients were reported to be inadequate in their self-care [8]. A systematic review revealed a diverse range of personal and environmental factors associated with self-care behaviors in heart failure patients. Age, health-related quality of life, sex, education, New York Heart Association class, depressive symptoms, and left ventricular ejection fraction were most often correlated with the European Heart Failure Self-care Behaviour Scale (EHFScBs) score [9], which was an internally consistent and valid instrument to measure HF-related self-care behaviour [5]. Regarding specific HF self-care behaviors, a study from Taiwan reported at least half of the HF patients never or rarely weighed themselves (50%) or checked their ankle swelling (62.8%). Moreover, 77.3% of the patients did not ask for low-salt items when eating out or visiting others [10].

There is a gap in the literature from Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) because there is a scarcity of data regarding self-care behaviors among HF patients. A study from South Africa reported that among adult HF patients, adherence to self-care behaviors ranged from 2.5% to 98%. Daily weight monitoring and keeping a moderate alcohol intake (one beer, one to two glasses of wine per day) were the lowest and the highest rates of adherence, respectively [11]. In Ethiopia, few studies were published [12 - 15] regarding self-care behaviors among HF patients. The rate of good adherence to self-care behaviors was 22.3% in a study by Seid *et al.* [12] and 51.2% in a study by Fetensa *et al.* [15]. A higher level of good adherence was noted for taking prescribed medication (93.2%) and had follow-up appointments (90.2%). However, a higher level of poor adherence was noted for weight monitoring (87.6%), regular exercise (85.6%), and fluid restriction (70.5%) [14]. Gebru *et al.* [13] identified that age, educational level, comorbidity, knowledge about HF, and social support were significantly associated with self-care behavior. There was a scarcity of published studies related to self-care behaviors among HF

patients in our setting, Jimma University Medical center (JUMC).

Self-care education, including the control of non-pharmacological measures, should be part of the daily management of HF patients both hospital and ambulatory settings. Nurses at HF follow-up clinics together with other professionals integrating the multidisciplinary team have played a fundamental role in the follow-up and management of patients [16]. Optimal outcomes for patients with HF depend on their engagement in effective self-care activities. Effective HF self-care is associated with several positive outcomes such as improved quality of life and reduced mortality, morbidity, and healthcare costs. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the level of adherence to self-care behaviors and assess the factors associated with self-care among adult HF patients attending follow-up care at JUMC.

1.1. The Specific Objectives of this Study were

- To determine the level of adherence to self-care behavior among adult HF patients attending chronic follow-up care.
- To identify specific self-care behavior recommendations being followed by the HF patients
- To determine the factors or predictors associated with adherence to self-care behaviors among adult HF patients

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Area and Period

The study was conducted at chronic follow-up care of Jimma University Medical Center (JUMC). Jimma is found in the Oromia region, southwest of the capital city, Addis Ababa/Finfinnee at 352 kilometers. JUMC provides healthcare services for approximately 15 million people in Southwest Ethiopia. It provides outpatient services for approximately 160,000 attendants per year [17]. The study was conducted from August 1 to September 01, 2021.

2.1.1. Study Design

A cross-sectional study design was used.

2.1.2. Study Population

Adult HF patients who were attending chronic follow-up care, visited flow-up care for at least three months, on at least one standard HF drug therapy, and aged above 18 years. Patients who were critically ill, could not communicate, or had a mental illness were excluded. The number of excluded patients was not counted because only consecutive patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included.

2.2. Sample Size

The sample size was determined using a single proportion formula with the assumption of a 95% confidence interval and 5% margin of error. The prevalence of good self-care behavior (22.3%) was obtained from a study conducted at Gondar University referral hospital [12]. Thus, using the formula, the

sample size for this study was calculated as 266.

2.3. Sampling Techniques and Procedures

The consecutive sampling technique was used to select patients who visited chronic follow-up care at JUMC during the data collection period. The study participants were enrolled until the required sample size was reached.

2.4. Data Collection

The questionnaires were first prepared in English, translated into local languages (Afaan, Oromo, and Amharic), and back-translated into English to maintain consistency. Two trained clinical nurses and two clinical pharmacists collected data through face-to-face interviews using semi-structured questionnaires and by reviewing the medical records of the patients. The authors of this study supervised the data collection process. All information relevant to the study was forwarded to the patients, and their answers were recorded using a questionnaire. The questionnaire contained subsections on socio-demographic data, clinical disease characteristics, history of hospitalization, self-care behavior items, and HF knowledge items.

2.5. Data Collection Instrument

The validated data collection tool, the modified European Heart Failure Self-care Behavior Scale (EHFScBS) [5], was used to assess the self-care behaviors of the participants. In this study, six items on EHFScBS were used after the pretest was conducted with HF patients on follow-up. For each item, patients were asked to rate their self-care behavior on a five-point scale from '1' strongly disagree to '5' strongly agree. The minimum score was 6, and the maximum score was 30; where a higher mean score indicates good self-care behavior. To assess the level of knowledge of HF by the participants, the Japanese Heart failure Knowledge scale (JHFKS) [18] was used. Fourteen items were selected out of 15 based on the applicability of the items in our setting. The scale evaluates the knowledge of patients regarding HF symptoms, HF-related treatment, and self-care. The questions were used to assess patients' HF knowledge with a choice of (yes, no, and I don't know). The correct answer for the specific question was given '1' score and otherwise '0'. The scores for each item were summed, giving a range of total scores from 0 to 14. Higher scores indicate greater knowledge of HF.

2.5.1. Dependent Variable

Adherence to self-care behavior

2.5.2. Independent Variables

Socio-demographic status (age, sex, marital status, education, etc.), clinical factors: comorbidity, duration of heart failure, New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, history of admission, HF knowledge of the participants

2.6. Data Quality Control and Management

Before starting data collection, a pretest was performed on 5% of the randomly selected patients to determine if the data collection format was applicable, appropriate, and consistent;

any modifications were then applied. During the data collection procedures, the investigators reviewed and checked the collected data daily for its completeness, accuracy, and clarity. Any completed questionnaires with faulty data were excluded.

2.7. Data Analysis

The data were checked, cleaned, and analyzed using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the findings. The results were presented as frequency, percentage, median (interquartile range, IQR), and mean (standard deviation, SD). A logistic regression model was used to determine variables associated with adherence to self-care behavior. Model fitness was checked using the Hosmer–Lemeshow test ($P > 0.05$). Initially, univariate logistic regression was performed and variables with a P-value of less than 0.25 were identified. Then, all variables with a P value less than 0.25 were entered in multivariate logistic regression using a backward stepwise method. Finally, an adjusted odds ratio was reported along with a 95% confidence interval, and a P value less than 0.05 was used to indicate statistical significance.

2.8. Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Jimma University. The IRB approval number for this study was Ref. No. Phar/630/2013. Before data collection, the purpose of the study, method of data collection, and presence confidentiality was provided to all eligible participants. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant after he or she was willing to participate. Confidentiality was maintained by omitting their names on the questionnaire.

2.8.1. Participants' Rights

The participants were informed that they had the full right to participate or not to participate in the study as well as to withdraw any time during the interview.

2.9. Operational Definition

2.9.1. Adherence

It is defined as 'the extent to which HF patients' behavior (taking medication, following a low-salt diet, exercising regularly, or contacting healthcare providers when the symptom increases) corresponds with agreed recommendations from the health care providers [19].

2.9.2. Self-care Behaviors

Self-care involves behaviors such as taking medications, monitoring and interpreting symptoms, keeping appointments, and contacting healthcare providers when needed. The patients were classified as "Good adherent" to self-care behaviors when their mean adherence score was greater than the overall mean score and "Poor adherent" when the mean adherence score of the participants was below the overall mean score [15, 20]. The mean scores for individual self-care behaviors were also calculated. Moreover, a patient was considered adherent to specific behavioral recommendations, when his/her response to

that item was “agree and strongly agree” [21, 22]. In this study, participants who correctly answered $\geq 75\%$ of the knowledge-related questions were considered to have adequate knowledge of HF [12, 14].

3. RESULTS

3.1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of HF Patients

In this study, 266 adult HF patients on follow-up care were included. The median (IQR) age of the participants was 45.0 (32.0, 57.0) years. Female participants accounted for 54.1%. More than two-thirds (68.4%) of the participants were married. Regarding educational status, more than one-third (37.2%) of the participants were unable to read or write. Forty participants (15.0%) were government employees (Table 1).

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of HF patients attending chronic care follow-up at JUMC

| Variables | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
|---|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
| Sex | Male | 122 | 45.9 |
| | Female | 144 | 54.1 |
| Age (median, range) years: 45 (19 - 78) | | | |
| Marital status | Married | 182 | 68.4 |
| | Single | 55 | 20.7 |
| | Widowed | 19 | 7.1 |
| | Divorced | 10 | 3.8 |
| Education | Cannot read and write | 99 | 37.2 |
| | Primary school | 61 | 22.9 |
| | Secondary school | 52 | 19.5 |
| | College and above | 54 | 20.3 |
| Occupation | Government employee | 40 | 15.0 |
| | Merchant | 54 | 20.3 |
| | House wife | 74 | 27.8 |
| | Farmer | 55 | 20.7 |
| | Others | 43 | 16.2 |

3.2. Clinical Characteristics of HF Patients

The median (IQR) duration of HF was 4.0 (2.0, 7.0) years. In more than half (54.5%) of the participants, the duration of heart failure was less than four years. About 70.0% of the participants had NYHA classes II and III. A total of 206 participants had documented ejection fraction (EF). The minimum and maximum EF were 29.0% and 45.0%, respectively. Most patients (86.9%) had an EF $\leq 40\%$. Among 266 study participants, 47.4% had chronic comorbidities. During the past six months, 33.1% of the participants had a history of hospitalization. Among those admitted, 43.2% were admitted twice within the past six months (Table 2).

3.3. Patterns of Cardiovascular (CV) Medications used by the HF Patients

The median (IQR) number of medications taken by participants was 3.0 (2.0, 4.0). As shown in Table 3, almost all patients were taking renin-angiotensin system (RAS) blockers (98.8%), followed by diuretics (85.0%). Of the RAS blockers, 76.3% were enalapril, and the rest was losartan (22.6%). A small number (7.5%) of HF patients have been taking digoxin (Table 3).

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of HF patients attending chronic care follow-up at JUMC.

| Variables | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| NYHA class | I | 46 | 17.3 |
| | II | 94 | 35.3 |
| | III | 92 | 34.6 |
| | IV | 34 | 12.8 |
| Duration of illness | 1 to 4 years | 145 | 54.51 |
| | 5 to 10 years | 93 | 34.96 |
| | ≥ 10 years | 28 | 10.53 |
| Comorbidity | Yes | 126 | 47.37 |
| | No | 140 | 52.63 |
| Hospitalization, in 6 months | Yes | 88 | 33.1 |
| | No | 178 | 66.9 |

Notes: NYHA: New York Heart Association

Table 3. Patterns of CV medications used among the heart failure patients attending chronic follow-up care at JUMC.

| Medications | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| RAS blockers (enalapril and losartan) | 263 | 98.9 |
| Diuretics (furosemide and spironolactone) | 226 | 85.0 |
| Beta-blockers (metoprolol and atenolol) | 120 | 45.1 |
| Calcium channel blockers (amlodipine) | 38 | 14.3 |
| Cardiac glycoside (digoxin) | 20 | 7.5 |
| Others (atorvastatin, metformin, and aspirin) | 151 | 56.7 |

Keys: RAS: Renin-Angiotensin-System

3.4. Level of Adherence to Self-care Behaviors

Self-care behavior was assessed using modified EHfScBS with six items. The reliability (Cronbach's alpha) for the six items was 0.61. The overall mean score of the six items was 3.04. The patients were considered to have good self-care if their mean adherence score was higher than the overall mean score. Accordingly, half (50.0%) of the study participants had good adherence to self-care behaviors. Regarding the individual self-care behavior recommendation, the mean adherence score of the participants for the prescribed medications was the highest (mean = 3.75 ± 0.55), where 75.5% of the participants reported following the recommendation “I take medication as prescribed”, followed by the recommendation of dietary modification of a low-salt diet (mean = 3.41 ± 0.65). The items with low mean adherence scores were contacting doctors when legs/feet were swollen and shortness of breath increased, with a mean score of 2.47 ± 0.80 and 2.61 ± 0.74 , respectively. In this study, only 15.8% and 12.8% of the study participants reported following the recommendation “I contact a doctor if short of breath increases and my legs/feet are swollen”, respectively (Table 4).

3.5. Level of Heart Failure Knowledge of Patients

The level of HF patients' knowledge was assessed using 14 items from the JHFKS. Reliability for the 14 items was $r = 0.70$. Patients who correctly answered $\geq 75\%$ of the knowledge-related questions were considered to have adequate knowledge. In this study, only 75 (28.2%) of the study participants had adequate knowledge of their disease (Table 5).

3.6. Factors Associated with Adherence to Self-care Behaviors among HF Patients

Based on the multivariate logistic regression analysis, among the potential variables, three were statistically associated with participants' adherence to self-care behaviors. Participants who could not read and write were 70.0% less likely to be good adherent (adjusted odds ratio, AOR = 0.30 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.13, 0.71), P = 0.006) to self-

care behaviors compared to participants who had a college degree and above. Similarly, participants who had an illness duration greater than or equal to ten years were less likely to be good adherent (AOR = 0.31 (95% CI 0.12, 0.82), P = 0.02) to self-care behaviors compared to participants with an illness duration of less than four years. Moreover, being in NYHA class II HF was associated with having poor adherence to self-care behaviors (AOR = 0.33 (95% CI 0.15, 0.73), P = 0.007) compared to individuals in NYHA class I HF (Table 6).

Table 4. Self-care adherence scores among HF patients attending chronic follow-up care at JUMC.

| Self-care Behaviors | Mean | SD | Percentages of Responses per each Likert Point | | | | |
|---|------|------|--|------|------|------|--------------------|
| | | | Strongly Disagree [1] | 2 | 3 | 4 | Strongly Agree [5] |
| Weigh every day | 3.03 | 0.82 | 1.5 | 28.2 | 36.1 | 34.2 | - |
| Exercise regularly | 2.98 | 0.97 | 8.3 | 22.9 | 31.6 | 36.8 | 0.4 |
| Eat low salt diet | 3.41 | 0.65 | - | 6.4 | 48.5 | 42.9 | 2.3 |
| Take medication as prescribed | 3.75 | 0.55 | - | 3.4 | 21.1 | 72.9 | 2.6 |
| Contact doctor if short of breath increases | 2.61 | 0.74 | - | 45.9 | 38.3 | 12.8 | 3.0 |
| Contact my doctor if my legs/feet swollen | 2.47 | 0.80 | 0.8 | 67.3 | 19.2 | 9.8 | 3.0 |
| Overall mean | 3.04 | 0.41 | | | | | |

SD: Standard Deviation

Table 5. Distribution of item responses with the knowledge level of HF patients attending chronic follow-up care at JUMC.

| Knowledge Items | % of Correct Answer | Yes (%) | No (%) | I don't know |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| HF in general | | | | |
| Exchange of O ₂ and CO ₂ occurs in the heart | 138(51.9%) | 86(32.3%) | 138(51.9) | 42(15.8%) |
| HF is a condition in which the heart is not able to pump blood through the body in the sufficient amounts | 155(58.3%) | 155(58.3%) | 38(14.3%) | 73(27.4%) |
| HF symptoms and signs | | | | |
| Difficulty in breathing and SOB are symptoms of HF | 234(88%) | 234(88%) | 11(4.1%) | 21(7.9%) |
| One of the symptoms when the lung is congested with the fluid is SOB | 136(51.1%) | 136(51.1%) | 46(17.3%) | 84(31.6%) |
| Some patients with severe HF become breathless when they lie flat and feel much better when they sit up | 151(56.8%) | 40(15%) | 151(56.8%) | 75(28.2%) |
| Short term weight gain is one of the signs of worsening HF | 155(58.3%) | 155(58.3%) | 64(24.1%) | 47(17.7%) |
| HF-related treatment and self-care | | | | |
| Overwork and stress sometimes cause HF to get worse | 194(72.9%) | 194(72.9%) | 22(8.3%) | 50(18.8) |
| Sodium causes water retention | 101(38%) | 101(38%) | 94(53.3%) | 71(26.7%) |
| Diuretics remove fluids from the body | 109(41%) | 109(41%) | 103(38.7%) | 54(20.3) |
| HF patients are discouraged from taking medications without food | 141(53%) | 100(37.6%) | 141(53%) | 25(9.4%) |
| HF patients had better drink more water than healthy people | 144(54.1%) | 46(17.3%) | 144(54.1%) | 76(28.6%) |
| HF patients had better take a high salt diet | 232(87.2%) | 21(7.9%) | 232(87.2%) | 13(4.9%) |
| Smoking is good for patients with HF because it promotes the circulation of blood | 189(71.1%) | 41(15.4%) | 189(71.1%) | 36(13.5%) |
| HF patients should not perform exercise regardless of their severity of HF | 129(48.5%) | 101(38%) | 129(48.5%) | 36(13.5%) |

Table 6. Bivariate and multivariate logistic regression of factors associated with good adherence to self-care behaviors in HF.

| Variables | Category | COR | P value | AOR | 95% CI | P value |
|----------------|------------------------------|------|---------|------|------------|---------|
| Age | < 50 years (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |
| | ≥ 50 years | 0.57 | 0.027 | 0.76 | 0.32, 1.75 | 0.51 |
| Sex | Female (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Male | 1.13 | 0.62 | - | - | - |
| Marital status | Married | 1.45 | 0.36 | - | - | - |
| | Single | 1.58 | 0.32 | - | - | - |
| | Divorced/widowed (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |

(Table 6) contd....

| Variables | Category | COR | P value | AOR | 95% CI | P value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------|---------|------|------------|---------|
| Education level | Coud not read and write | 0.30 | 0.001 | 0.30 | 0.13, 0.71 | 0.006* |
| | Primary school | 0.67 | 0.31 | 0.77 | 0.34, 1.77 | 0.54 |
| | Secondary school | 0.46 | 0.054 | 0.48 | 0.21, 1.10 | 0.082 |
| | College and above (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Occupation | Government employee | 1.61 | 0.29 | 0.73 | 0.17, 3.18 | 0.67 |
| | Merchant | 0.93 | 0.87 | 1.06 | 0.41, 2.75 | 0.89 |
| | Housewife | 0.78 | 0.52 | 1.28 | 0.48, 3.44 | 0.62 |
| | Farmer | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.91 | 0.32, 2.55 | 0.86 |
| | Others (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Duration of illness | 1 - 4 years (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 5 – 9 years | 0.79 | 0.39 | 0.75 | 0.37, 1.53 | 0.43 |
| | ≥ 10 years | 0.30 | 0.002 | 0.31 | 0.12, 0.82 | 0.02* |
| Hospitalization, 6 months | Yes | 0.66 | 0.12 | 0.99 | 0.50, 2.00 | 0.99 |
| | No (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Comorbidity | Yes | 0.88 | 0.62 | - | - | - |
| | No (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |
| NYHA class | I (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |
| | II | 0.25 | 0.000 | 0.33 | 0.15, 0.73 | 0.007* |
| | III | 0.33 | 0.004 | 0.64 | 0.26, 1.61 | 0.34 |
| | IV | 0.63 | 0.35 | 2.08 | 0.63, 6.83 | 0.22 |
| Number of medications | < 5 (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |
| | ≥ 5 | 1.26 | 0.55 | - | - | - |
| Knowledge | Inadequate | 0.61 | 0.078 | 0.76 | 0.42, 1.38 | 0.37 |
| | Adequate (reference) | - | - | - | - | - |

COR/AOR: Crude/Adjusted Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence Interval.

*indicates statistical significance.

4. DISCUSSION

Self-care is a naturalistic decision-making process that patients use in the choice of behaviors that maintain physiological stability (symptom monitoring and treatment adherence) and response to symptoms when they occur [23]. Patients with HF who report more effective self-care behaviors have a better quality of life, lower mortality and readmission rates than those with lower levels of self-care [6]. Thus, this study was designed to determine the level of adherence to self-care behaviors among adult HF patients attending chronic follow-up care at JUMC. The results of the study indicated that half of the HF patients on follow-up care in our setting had good adherence to HF self-care behavior recommendations. Participants’ education level, illness duration, and HF NYHA class were factors associated with adherence to self-care.

Regarding the background of the participants included in this study, 70.0% had NYHA classes II and III. Similarly, in several studies, the included participants mainly had HF NYHA functional classes II and III [11, 21, 24]. One-third of the patients in our study had a history of hospitalization in the past 6 months. In the same way, in a study by Vellone et al., 54.5% of the patients were hospitalized at least once [24].

In this study, only half of the study participants had good adherence to self-care behavior recommendations. Our result is almost comparable to studies done in Slovenia by Sedlar et al. [8], in Ethiopia by Fetensa et al. [15], and Seid et al. (20), where 49.0%, 51.2%, and 52.0% of heart failure patients had good adherence to self-care behavior recommendations, respectively. However, our result is higher compared to studies

by Gebru et al. (45.8%) [13], Tegegn et al. (28%) [14], and Seid et al. (22.3%) [12]. The difference in the findings might be related to the use of a different number of items to measure self-care behaviors. It might also be related to the differences in the level of education of the participants. For example, in studies by Seid et al. [12] and Gebreu et al. [13], about half of the participants had an educational level of illiterate compared to the 37.2% in our study. In support of this, studies have shown that HF patients with higher education were associated with higher self-care maintenance [25, 26].

Regarding the individual self-care recommendations, the most followed self-care behavior recommendation was “I take medication as prescribed” by 75.5% of the participants. Similar findings were reported by Lee et al. from the USA [27], Zamanzadeh et al. from Iran [28], Tegegn et al. and Seid et al. from Ethiopia [12, 14], Sedlar et al. from Slovenia [8], and Alber et al. from USA [29]. The reason for a higher proportion of patients following the recommendation to take medicines as prescribed could be that HF is a chronic condition associated with syndromes of symptoms that limit the patients’ daily physical and social activities; and HF patients who take their medications as prescribed might find they have fewer symptoms. In addition, taking medications does not require active effort, whereas other self-care behaviors do. Thus, all self-care behavior recommendations should be reinforced by nurses during follow-up visits to facilitate better treatment outcomes for patients with chronic HF.

This study revealed that the least followed self-care behavior recommendation was contacting doctors when one

had shortness of breath (SoB) or swollen feet or legs. Similarly, in a study by Santemasas-Masana R *et al.*, contacting doctors/nurses when experiencing SOB and swollen leg/feet was lower compared to other recommendations [30]. However, our study contrasts with a study by Seid *et al.*, in which, patients were more frequently contacted by doctors or nurses when they experienced shortness of breath and a sign of legs/feet edema [20]. A similar finding was also reported by Zamanzadeh *et al.* from Iran, where the item “regular visits to the doctor or nurse” was the second following recommendation only after the item “Taking medication in the prescribed time” [28]. The difference in the patients contacting doctors/nurses when symptoms occur might be related to socioeconomic differences between patients, or lack of knowledge related to its importance.

Studies have confirmed that patient socio-demographic characteristics and disease state affected HF self-care adherence [9]. Our analysis revealed that the participants who could not read and write were 70.0% less likely to adhere to HF self-care behaviors compared to patients with a college education. Similarly, in a study by Gebru *et al.*, patients who had an educational level of diploma and above were 2.55 times more likely to have good self-care behavior compared to those who could not read and write [13]. Sedlar *et al.* also reported having an education level below high school was negatively (OR: 0.314) associated with adequate self-care among HF patients [8]. However, in a study by Asadi *et al.*, self-care behavior scores did not have a significant relationship with the level of participants’ education [31]. Regarding the HF duration with HF self-care recommendations, patients with an HF duration of ≥ 10 years were less likely to have good adherence to self-care behavior recommendations. However, this finding contrasts with a study by Fetensa *et al.*, where patients with a duration of HF greater than 1 year were positively associated with good self-care recommendations [15]. Gebru *et al.* also reported that patients with an HF duration of less than one year were 62% less likely to have good self-care behaviors [13].

In this study, the last variable associated with self-care behavior recommendations was HF NYHA functional class. Patients with NYHA class II HF were 77.0% less likely to be well adherent to self-care behavior recommendations. Similarly, Gebru *et al.* reported that respondents with NYHA functional class I were 83% less likely to have good self-care [13]. A study conducted in the USA also revealed better HF symptom status was negatively associated with self-care [27]. This may be because patients with HF in the non-advanced NYHA class have lower symptom burdens; so they may not adhere to self-care recommendations. A study reported that when HF symptoms were severe, and self-care was high, health-related quality of life was better than when symptoms were high and self-care was low [32].

5. LIMITATIONS

This study was single-center and included patients on follow-up care who had at least three follow-up visits. Therefore, the findings may not be generalizable to HF patients who were recently diagnosed with HF or those who were

recently discharged from the hospital.

6. NURSING IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Many HF patients had low levels of knowledge and understanding of heart failure and self-care. The HF guidelines stress the importance of patient education on treatment adherence, lifestyle changes, symptom monitoring and adequate response to possible deterioration [6]. Educational interventions should be used to empower patients to recognize and manage their symptoms. In a study by Son *et al.*, nurse-led HF self-care education significantly reduced the risk of all-cause readmission to the hospital, HF-specific readmission, and all-cause mortality or readmission [33]. Nurses have a crucial role teaching HF patients’ self-care abilities, which include weight monitoring, sodium and fluid restrictions, physical activities, regular medication use, monitoring signs and symptoms of disease worsening and early search for medical care. Knowing the level of self-care behaviors in HF patients will help nurses to recognize behaviors that need to be modified or added for better disease control and consequently, better quality of life. Ongoing assessment of HF patients’ self-care behaviors should be an essential part of nursing practice. Based on our findings, we recommend that clinicians, in particular nursing professionals, should counsel or educate patients with HF on self-care practice recommendations, particularly patients with a lower education level and who live longer with HF. Local policymakers should also develop strategies to strengthen nursing education on self-care behaviors at follow-care for HF patients.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicated that half of the HF patients have poorly adhered to self-care behaviors. Participants’ lower education level, longer illness duration, and HF NYHA class II were predictors of poor adherence to self-care.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|----------------|---|
| EHFScBS | = European Heart Failure Self-care Behavior Scale |
| HF | = Heart Failure |
| NYHA | = New York Heart Association |
| JUMC | = Jimma University Medical Center |
| JHFKS | = Japanese Heart failure Knowledge Scale |
| IQR | = Interquartile Range |
| SD | = Standard Deviation |
| CI | = Confidence Interval |
| C/AOR | = Crude/Adjusted Odds Ratio |
| SOB | = Shortness of Breath |
| RAS | = Renin-Angiotensin System |
| CV | = Cardiovascular |

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Jimma University. The IRB approval

number for this study was Ref. No. Phar/630/2013.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used for studies that are the basis of this research. All the humans used were in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional and national), and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

All the raw data used in this study are included within this manuscript.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

All authors have agreed to publish this manuscript.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed.

FUNDING

There was no specific funding received for this study. The study was done as part of the routine activities of the authors at Jimma University.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors of this study did not have any conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors of this study would acknowledge the study participants, data collectors, and clinicians working in chronic follow-up care of JUMC.

REFERENCES

- [1] McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, *et al.* 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2021; 42(36): 3599-726. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab368] [PMID: 34447992]
- [2] Salim S. 2021.
- [3] Goonesekera S, Rudnicka-Noulin D, Isherwood A. The burden of heart failure in North America and Western Europe. *Future Cardiol* 2021; 17(4): 637-46. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/fca-2020-0097] [PMID: 33095033]
- [4] Adebisi A, Sliwa K. Heart Failure in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Top Hear Fail Manag* 2019.
- [5] Jaarsma T, Årestedt KF, Mårtensson J, Dracup K, Strömberg A. The European Heart Failure Self-care Behaviour scale revised into a nine-item scale (EHFScB-9): a reliable and valid international instrument. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009; 11(1): 99-105. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurjhf/hfn007] [PMID: 19147463]
- [6] Jaarsma T, Hill L, Bayes-Genis A, *et al.* Self-care of heart failure patients: practical management recommendations from the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2021; 23(1): 157-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.2008] [PMID: 32945600]
- [7] Jaarsma T, Strömberg A, Ben Gal T, *et al.* Comparison of self-care behaviors of heart failure patients in 15 countries worldwide. *Patient Educ Couns* 2013; 92(1): 114-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2013.02.017] [PMID: 23579040]
- [8] Sedlar N, Lainscak M, Farkas J. Self-care perception and behaviour in patients with heart failure: A qualitative and quantitative study. *ESC Heart Fail* 2021; 8(3): 2079-88. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ehf2.13287] [PMID: 33719209]
- [9] Sedlar N, Lainscak M, Mårtensson J, Strömberg A, Jaarsma T, Farkas

- J. Factors related to self-care behaviours in heart failure: A systematic review of European Heart Failure Self-Care Behaviour Scale studies. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2017; 16(4): 272-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1474515117691644] [PMID: 28168895]
- [10] Tung HH, Chen SC, Yin WH, Cheng CH, Wang TJ, Wu SF. Self care behavior in patients with heart failure in Taiwan. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2012; 11(2): 175-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcnurse.2011.02.002] [PMID: 21382750]
- [11] Ruf V, Stewart S, Pretorius S, *et al.* Medication adherence, self-care behaviour and knowledge on heart failure in urban South Africa: the Heart of Soweto study. *Cardiovasc J Afr* 2010; 21(2): 86-92. [PMID: 20532432]
- [12] Seid MA, Abdela OA, Zeleke EG. Adherence to self-care recommendations and associated factors among adult heart failure patients. From the patients' point of view. *PLoS One* 2019; 14(2): e0211768. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211768] [PMID: 30730931]
- [13] Hailu Gebru T, Kidanu Berhe K, Tilahun Tsehaye W, *et al.* Self-Care Behavior and Associated Factors among Heart Failure Patients in Tigray, Ethiopia: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Clin Nurs Res* 2021; 30(5): 636-43. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1054773820961243] [PMID: 33016105]
- [14] Tegegn BW, Hussien WY, Abebe AE, Gebre MW. Adherence to self-care practices and associated factors among outpatient adult heart failure patients attending a cardiac center in addis ababa, Ethiopia in 2020. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2021; 15: 317-27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S293121] [PMID: 33623373]
- [15] Fetensa G, Fekadu G, Turi E, *et al.* Self-care behaviour and associated factors among chronic heart failure clients on follow up at selected hospitals of Wollega zones, Ethiopia. *Int J Afr Nurs Sci* 2021; 15: 100355. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijans.2021.100355]
- [16] Rabelo ER, Aliti GB, Domingues FB, Ruschel KB, de Oliveira Brun A. What to teach to patients with heart failure and why: the role of nurses in heart failure clinics. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem* 2007; 15(1): 165-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0104-11692007000100024] [PMID: 17375248]
- [17] Jimma Medical Center. 2015. Available from: <https://www.ju.edu.et/?q=article/specialized-hospital>
- [18] Kato N, Kinugawa K, Nakayama E, *et al.* Development and psychometric properties of the Japanese heart failure knowledge scale. *Int Heart J* 2013; 54(4): 228-33. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1536/ihj.54.228] [PMID: 23924936]
- [19] World Health Organization. Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action 2003. Available from: <http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2003/9241545992.pdf>
- [20] Seid MA. Health-related quality of life and extent of self-care practice among heart failure patients in Ethiopia. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2020; 18(1): 27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12955-020-01290-7] [PMID: 32059670]
- [21] Stut W, Deighan C, Cleland JG, Jaarsma T. Adherence to self-care in patients with heart failure in the HeartCycle study. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2015; 9: 1195-206. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S88482] [PMID: 26316725]
- [22] Kato N, Kinugawa K, Ito N, *et al.* Adherence to self-care behavior and factors related to this behavior among patients with heart failure in Japan. *Hear Lung J Acute Crit Care* 2009; 38(5): 398-409. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2008.11.002] [PMID: 19755190]
- [23] Riegel B, Moser DK, Anker SD, *et al.* State of the science: promoting self-care in persons with heart failure: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2009; 120(12): 1141-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192628] [PMID: 19720935]
- [24] Vellone E, Jaarsma T, Strömberg A, *et al.* The European Heart Failure Self-care Behaviour Scale: new insights into factorial structure, reliability, precision and scoring procedure. *Patient Educ Couns* 2014; 94(1): 97-102. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2013.09.014] [PMID: 24148605]
- [25] Koirala B, Dennison Himmelfarb CR, Budhathoki C, Davidson PM. Heart failure self-care, factors influencing self-care and the relationship with health-related quality of life: A cross-sectional observational study. *Heliyon* 2020; 6(2): e03412. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03412] [PMID: 32149197]
- [26] Chen AM, Yehle KS, Plake KS, Murawski MMMH, Mason HL. Health literacy and self-care of patients with heart failure. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2011; 26(6):

- 446-51. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3624763/pdf/nihms412728.pdf>
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JCN.0b013e31820598d4>] [PMID: 21263340]
- [27] Lee KS, Moser DK, Pelter MM, Nesbitt T, Dracup K. Self-care in rural residents with heart failure: What we are missing. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2017; 16(4): 326-33.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1474515116666439>] [PMID: 27566598]
- [28] Zamanzadeh V, Valizadeh L, Jamshidi F, Namdar H, Maleki A. Self-Care Behaviors among Patients with Heart Failure in Iran. *J Caring Sci* 2012; 1(4): 209-14.
[PMID: 25276697]
- [29] Albert NM, Levy P, Langlois E, *et al.* Heart failure beliefs and self-care adherence while being treated in an emergency department. *J Emerg Med* 2014; 46(1): 122-9.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2013.04.060>] [PMID: 24054880]
- [30] Santemasmas-Masana R, González-de Paz L, Hernández-Martínez-Esparza E, Kostov B, Navarro-Rubio MD. Self-care practices of primary health care patients diagnosed with chronic heart failure: A cross-sectional survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019; 16(9): 1-16.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16091625>] [PMID: 31075932]
- [31] Asadi P, Ahmadi S, Abdi A, Shareef OH, Mohamadyari T, Miri J. Relationship between self-care behaviors and quality of life in patients with heart failure. *Heliyon* 2019; 5(9): e02493.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02493>] [PMID: 31687585]
- [32] Auld JP, Mudd JO, Gelow JM, Hiatt SO, Lee CS. Self-care Moderates the Relationship Between Symptoms and Health-Related Quality of Life in Heart Failure. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2018; 33(3): 217-24.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JCN.0000000000000447>] [PMID: 28930784]
- [33] Son YJ, Choi J, Lee HJ. Effectiveness of nurse-led heart failure self-care education on health outcomes of heart failure patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; 17(18): 1-14.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186559>] [PMID: 32916907]

© 2022 Mulugeta *et al.*

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.