The Open Nursing Journal DOI: 10.2174/0118744346333487240821100900, 2024, 17, e18744346333487

OPEN ACCESS

Concept Analysis of Post-operative Recovery after Abdominal Surgery: State of the Science



Khwanhathai Changyai^{1,*}

¹Department of Adult Nursing, Royal Thai Air Force Nursing College, Bangkok, 10220, Thailand

Abstract:

Purpose: This study aimed to analyze the concept of post-operative recovery among patients receiving abdominal surgery.

Methods: The concept analysis based on Walker and 'Avant's framework was adopted in this study. Data were explored and collected from different databases, namely, PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, and SAGE Journals, by using the following keywords: "patients receiving abdominal surgery," "post-operative recovery," and "concept analysis."

Results: The attributes of post-operative recovery after abdominal surgery varied depending on different phases of recovery. The antecedents included physiological factors, psychological factors, social factors, and habitual factors. The consequences were as follows: 1) decreased unpleasant physical symptoms; 2) restoration of bodily functions; 3) achievement of a level of emotional well-being; and 4) ability to resume normal activities.

Conclusion: Factors influencing post-operative recovery after abdominal surgery should be taken into consideration when developing medical interventions and further studied to favor future research development. The comprehension of concepts will guide health professionals formulate in evaluating the quality of nursing care, develop the desired tools for evaluating research outcomes, and formulate new theories.

Keywords: Abdominal surgery, Concept analysis, Recovery, Theory development, Post-operative recovery, Physiological factors.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Adult Nursing, Royal Thai Air Force Nursing College, Bangkok, 10220, Thailand E-mail: aloha_dry.ice@hotmail.com

Cite as: Changyai K. Concept Analysis of Post-operative Recovery after Abdominal Surgery: State of the Science. Open Nurs J, 2024; 17: e18744346333487. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118744346333487240821100900



Received: June 27, 2024 Revised: August 04, 2024 Accepted: August 07, 2024 Published: September 12, 2024



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

1. INTRODUCTION

Digestive disorders are common among the global population. Consistent with Wang *et al.*'s study, digestive diseases are one of the significant causes of death among the global human population. These include Gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding, GI sepsis, cancers, and abdominal trauma. Medical treatments vary, depending on the specific etiology of the disorder [1]. Surgery is typically performed with the intention to cure GI diseases; however, surgery poses potential effects on various systems in the body. The data supports a link between emergency surgery cases and increasing post-operative complications such as wound infection and sepsis, dehiscence, leaky gut syndrome, and ileus [2]. Apart from recovery, the purposes of surgery are promoting rapid restoration, reducing complications, and preventing hospital readmission.

Concept analysis involves identifying and classifying the attributes of concepts while promoting a profound understanding of the concepts and giving clear, precise

operational definitions. This challenging task can provide a broad understanding of nursing phenomena, particularly patient recovery. Concept analysis is often referred to and considered one of the main objectives in different research fields. The literature reveals that most studies on abdominal surgery focus on post-operative recovery. However, neither the definition nor the criteria of abdominal surgery recovery have been clearly stated. For instance, there were two studies relevant to abdominal post-operative recovery, one focusing on the effect of preoperative education on recovery [3], and the other studying the effect of anxiety during pre and postoperative periods on the quality of recovery among patients having abdominal surgery [4]. Neither study however, gave full details about the attributes and the criteria of abdominal post-operative recovery. Though some studies on post-operative recovery have been conducted, the proposed results of concept analysis were not directly based on nursing phenomena associated with abdominal surgery. Therefore, the concept of abdominal surgery recovery requires analysis to gain real insights and illuminating views.

This study aimed to analyze the concepts of abdominal surgery recovery. The results will yield fresh insights into the definitions, characteristics, and scopes of post-operative recovery, which could promote comprehensive knowledge in all nursing phenomena, enable healthcare professionals to give proper care to abdominal surgery patients, and provide good ground for the development of new theories with effective tools for evaluating research outcomes.

2. METHODS

This study involved no human subjects. The 8-step method from Walker and Avant's approach was applied to examine post-operative recovery concepts among patients receiving abdominal surgery. The definitions of abdominal surgery patients were explored from various literature. Then, the determining attributes and cases (model, borderline, related, and contrary) were analyzed, followed by the identification of antecedents and consequences of post-operative recovery among abdominal surgery patients.Finally, the empirical referents were introduced to the measurement of abdominal clarifv surgerv recovery.Data were gathered from credible sources (PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, and SAGE Journals) with key terms: recovery, post-operative recovery, and abdominal surgery.Quantitative and qualitative research published in English (2013-2023) were examined for context-relatedness. Available, applicable full-text abstracts were screened to select papers. After checking for duplication, 19 studies formed the basis for concept analysis.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Identifying Uses and Definitions of the Concept

According to Walker and Avant (2019), all uses of a concept should be considered, not limiting the search to a particular field (not only nursing or medical) to obtain broad information. All aspects, both explicit (physical) and implicit (psychological), must be considered to get "the true

nature of the concept."

Several studies have identified the definitions and characteristics of post-operative recovery concepts, revealing that recovery concepts are used in different fields with broad definitions. Citing credible sources, "recovery" means the state of "becoming healthy after being sick or hurt" [5] or "return to normal health after injury or illness" [6]. Recovery can be a process of changes or improvement of health, wellness, and quality of life [7], as well as regaining strength and eliminating sickness [8].

Psychological patient recovery is both a process and a result, which requires assistance from others. The definitions involve patient changes, no repetitive disorders, and return to daily routines [9].

Returning to daily routines without help makes patients feel better, while signs of recovery include medication-free emotional regulation and expression [10].

The literature revealed that health science studies have also focused on recovery and relevant explanations. Recovery can be defined as physical and psychological adaptation enhancing bodily restoration and function as patients return to activities without assistance.Postoperative recovery involves returning to normal conditions, restoring preoperative physical function, and having no complications In addition, this process covers physical, emotional, and social aspects, as well as restoring normal function [11].

Alvin et al. explained that the attributes of postoperative recovery include physical, mental, and social dimensions as well as bodily functions. Physical recovery refers to the complete restoration of bodily function without post-operative discomforts and complications, whereas psychological recovery includes emotional stability, contentment, and the ability to cope with illness [12]. Socially, post-operative recovery involves returning to normal life, such as accomplishing daily routines and appropriate interpersonal relationships. Allvin, Ehnfors, Rawal, and Idvall described post-operative surgery as an ongoing process requiring tremendous effort to pursue daily needs. Physical, mental, social, and habitual aspects are essential in this process [13], which covers the restoration of mind and bodily functions. Energy consumption is needed for complete mental and physical well-being [11].

Rajabiyazdi *et al.* defined post-operative recovery among abdominal surgery patients and found that it involved returning to habits and routines, resolution of symptoms, overcoming mental strains, regaining independence, and enjoying life [14]. Alam *et al.* investigated the experiences of abdominal surgery patients to develop conceptual frameworks of postoperative recovery and found recovery to involve bodily functions, activity, and participation. Bodily functions involve pain, emotional function, energy and drive, defecation, and exercise tolerance. Activities and participation are linked to walking, changing basic body positions, daily routines, moving around, and dressing [15]. Some studies also pointed out that hospital discharge criteria, consisting of pain control, mobilization, and selfcare ability, tolerance of oral intake, and good physical signs, are considered indicators of post-operative recovery [16].

As mentioned, abdominal surgery recovery is a body restoration and fitness process without post-operative complications. Pain and suffering are controlled, while contentment and emotional stability encourage appropriate emotional expression and social interaction. Daily routines are performed without assistance. The phases of abdominal surgery recovery can be categorized as the early, intermediate, and late phases of recovery.

4. DEFINING ATTRIBUTES

Walker and Avant identified concept analysis as characterizing or designating a cluster of attributes to describe a concept. Analysis requires examination of many patterns of concepts and records of all repetitive features of the concept [17]. The list of repetitively appearing characteristics of the concept defines the desired attributes. The significant attributes of recovery are categorized into three phases, as demonstrated in Fig. (1).

4.1. Early Recovery Phase

In assessing early recovery, the primary focus is the physiological domain. At this stage, patients display the ability to control reflexes and movement, thereby indicating improvements in bodily functions as vital signs are expected to stabilize within the preoperative range. In addition, laboratory test results are likely to be within acceptable ranges. Moreover, patients in early recovery are expected to be able to empty their bladders during urination with ease comparable to preoperative bladder function.

4.2. Intermediate Phase of Recovery

In assessing the intermediate phase of recovery, the primary focus is on the physiological and psychological domains. Patients generally display the ability to control pain, move, and be free from significant pain or tiredness as the physical state stabilizes with energy maintainability. Early ambulation, increased walking distance, deep breathing, coughing, ADL performance (going to the toilet, dressing, and showering), and self-care are key indicators of recovery. In addition, indications of restored lower gastrointestinal function include bowel movement, flatulence, and defecation. Psychologically, patients in this phase tend to exhibit overall well-being, greater comfort, less symptom distress, a change from illness to good health, no depressive symptoms, anxiety, fatigue, or passivity.

4.3. Late Recovery Phase

Observation of the physiological, social, and habitual domains is required in assessing late-phase recovery.Clear indicators of physiological recovery include renewed muscular strength for ADL performance (exercising, lifting heavy objects, and engaging in outdoor activities).The indicators of social recovery include maintenance of interpersonal relationships, active engagement in social interactions, and effort toward affirming identity and gaining acceptance. In habitual recovery, primary attention is given to the ability to perform tasks, regulate and perform daily routines such as personal hygiene and regular habits (eating, drinking, driving, and working).

4.3.1. Model Case

Somchai, a 47-year-old man, was hospitalized for colon cancer surgery. Before surgery, the patient was stressed and anxious about the diagnostic results and surgery for cancer treatment. As recorded, the patient had never had any surgeries before. Thus, he was highly concerned about negative outcomes. Fortunately, there were no complications. Postoperatively, nurses provided advice on selfcare, and he received good care from his daughters. The patient had pain for 2 days, then improved slightly. However, he continued to experience mild post-operative pain and needed more rest. On Day 3, some warning signs indicated restoration of normal bowel function, such as flatulence and defecation. The doctors advised eating soft foods and drinking water. Later, there were no symptoms of tightness, abdominal pain/painful flatulence, nausea, or vomiting. At first, the patient could gradually move his body, then could move freely and perform activities such as eating, going to the toilet, and taking care of personal hygiene. After the operation, the patient was informed that the tumor was Stage 1 and cancerous. The patient had been praying regularly for years before the admission, so he kept engaging in nightly meditation and prayer. As a result, he realized his actual condition and was able to accept his illnesses with ease. The patient was psychologically supported by family members, well-instructed in rehabilitation, and positively encouraged to regain his previous physical condition. He was eventually discharged from the hospital. His family played a role in supporting all practical activities, and he managed to return to normality. Six weeks after surgery, he was able to return to work as usual. After leaving the hospital, he adjusted his eating plan and focused on a nutritious diet to promote good health and wellness.

4.3.1.1. Analysis

In the above example, it was illustrated that the patient had a good recovery. The results met all requirements, as defined in the attributes. In the physiological domain, pain became less and less. The gastrointestinal system returned to normality, and the body started to tolerate food and drink. Psychologically, although it was the patient's first surgery, he was able to adapt quite well and successfully apply adaptive strategies. There was also good psychological support from both the patient's daughters and nurses, who constantly provided counseling and advice. In terms of habitual recovery, he was able to take care of himself and offered cooperation in rehabilitation programs. Also, results from this analysis benefit the construction of effective tools promoting family participation. Nurses can apply coaching techniques or provide the patient's relatives with instructional media, such as short video clips and handbooks, to enhance late-phase recovery.

Antecedents		
 Physiological factor Gender ASA classification Hyperglycemia Age (≥70) Co-morbidity (Diabetes mellitus, low immune disease) Functional impairment in preoperative Nutrition status (Obesity/weight loss/cachexia) TNM classification Pre-surgical immune states Type of surgery (Elective & Emergency) Extensive procedure and prolonged procedure Estimate blood loss Psychological factor Fear Anxiety Depression Preoperative neurological deficit Passive strategies to cope with pain Perceived severity of the illness/Perceived surgery risk 	Attributes Early phase of recovery - Can be control the reflex and body movement Intermediate phase of recovery - Control of body movement Perform basic activities of daily living - Bring back of gastrointestinal function: bowel movement, passed flatus and defecate - The pain is reduced and can maintain the body's energy. - Return to perfect condition transition from illness to good health - Return to psychological well-being and wholeness Late phase of recovery - Ability to undertake physically demanding activities of daily living as well as baseline - To be fully engaged in society - Interaction with other people	Consequences
Social factor Social support Socioeconomic status Marital status Employment status	capabilities - Take control daily routines	
 Habitual factor Smoking Use of immunosuppressive drugs (steroids, chemotherapy) in the last three months 		

Fig. (1). Post-operative recovery after abdominal surgery: antecedents, attributes, and consequences.

4.3.2. Borderline Case

Somsri, a 55-year-old Thai woman, came to the hospital with abdominal pain. She was diagnosed with an abdominal mass and weight loss. An ultrasound revealed a mass in the pancreas, and a surgical plan was made. Postoperatively, the tumor was classified as cancerous. Pain levels were high during the first days but later became much better. The patient was able to do things as normal, interacted with other patients, and was discharged from the hospital on Day 5 after surgery. Radiotherapy and chemotherapy were administered as supplementary treatment. One month after receiving chemotherapy, the patient felt uncomfortable due to nausea, vomiting, fatigue, loss of appetite, and hair loss, all of which interfered with daily life. Additionally, the undesirable symptoms embarrassed the patient and prevented her from returning to work. The patient returned to the hospital seeking consultation. The nurses offered useful advice to reduce chemotherapy effects and find ways to improve her appearance. The patient received positive psychological support from her family members, which built a sense of well-being and self-confidence. Regardless, she was somewhat concerned about other potential symptoms in the next chemotherapy round.

4.3.2.1. Analysis

The above example shows that the patient had a physiological recovery, and body movement could be made without the interference of any discomfort as the pain gradually decreased.

The social interactions were achieved. However, chemotherapy-related physical problems later arose and affected her psychological recovery. Although healthcare professionals had already given advice on how to reduce chemotherapy effects and family members provided constant support for the patient, the anxiety persisted. Since the patient had not experienced chemotherapy before, she became concerned about her illness, which led to psychological problems. Thus, the patient reached a few targets but did not achieve all aspects of recovery.

4.3.3. Related Case

Somjai, a 50-year-old Thai woman undergoing surgery for subtotal gastrectomy with jejunostomy, was treated with NPO and an NG-tube for secretion suction and drainage. She had mild pain but required no analgesia. On day 1 after surgery, she became mobile, starting from Fowler's position to slowly sitting by the bed and eventually walking around the ward. She could take good care of personal hygiene. The patient always followed the nurses' instructions. On Day 3, the physician evaluated her bowel function and found bloating, distension, and no flatulence. The patient was also unable to make a fist, so she could not have the NG tube removed, and nurses could not start tube feeding. In her free time, the patient was always reading books and relaxed herself with good music. She liked greeting nurses and talking to her roommates. She was obviously able to adapt and get along with everyone. Talking to roommates who were about to

return home encouraged to fight the illness. In addition, she had a strong belief that she would recover and be discharged from the hospital as soon as possible. She planned to take good care of her health and exercise regularly whenever she left the hospital to restore her previous strength and fitness.

4.3.3.1. Analysis

The above example shows that, despite incomplete physical recovery, she applied coping strategies and interacted with others, so she easily accepted her illness. In addition, she had self-esteem, which brought encouragement and strong determination to rehabilitate. Despite her incomplete recovery, the patient expressed positive thinking and optimism in achieving good recovery or a condition relatively close to recovery in all dimensions.

4.3.4. Contrary Case

Suda, a 35-vear-old Thai woman, had a motorcycle accident and was knocked unconscious. The doctor found abdominal bleeding, so she was rushed to the operating room for exploratory laparotomy. Spleen tearing and severe uterine rupture were discovered. Hence, the doctor repaired the spleen and performed a hysterectomy. Postoperatively, the patient recovered from anesthesia. Knowing of her complete hysterectomy and inability to conceive, the patient was very sad and stressed, disbelieving what had happened, because she was still young. Her post-operative pain was severe due to large incisions. She kept still, not moving or even changing positions. She did not have the motivation to follow the nurses' instructions. She did not eat much. The digestive system was considered malfunctioning because the intestines did not work properly, leading to weight loss and muscle weakness with insufficient energy to restore strength and difficulty performing daily routines without nursing assistance. She was completely dependent on others, particularly dayshift nurses, because she had no relatives, and her husband was only there after work. Later, the patient had a high fever due to infected surgical wounds. Her hospital stay was extended because of the infection. Knowing of the longer hospital stay, the patient's anxiety grew concerning loss of salary and inability to afford the medical fees.

4.3.4.1. Analysis

This situation shows that the patients' condition clearly failed to cover all components of recovery. Her major surgery had generated severe pain, later resulting in inadequate physical condition, hindering a good recovery. In addition, the patient was still young but had already undergone major surgery and organ removal; thus, she was anxious about her illness affecting her employment. She could not adapt, and her hope of returning to normal life was threatened. Since she had no caregivers to assist her during recovery, self-care was particularly difficult. Psychological problems affected her physiological recovery, which resulted in insufficient physical strength and motivation for rehabilitation.

4.4. Identification of Antecedents and Consequences

Concept analysis in this stage involves identifying antecedents and consequences. Antecedents are events occurring before the concept, whereas consequences are events or incidents caused by the occurrence of the concept [17]. Identifying the antecedents and consequences of a concept provides an understanding of the social contexts in which the concept is used.

4.5. Antecedents

Antecedents are situations or things preceding the concept [17]. Identifying antecedents serves as a guideline for conducting research, developing research instruments, determining nursing practice, and forming nursing theories. According to the literature, the antecedents related to recovery can be classified by group as follows:

4.5.1. Physiological Factors

Physiological factors directly affect recovery and relate to personal factors and physical status preceding surgery and treatment.

4.5.1.1. Age

Patients over 70 years with multiple diseases and ASA scores exceeding 3 points after surgery experience more systemic complications compared to younger patients [18, 19].

4.5.1.2. Gender

Gender influences treatment outcomes. Premenopausal women have better body responses to anesthesia than men, but overall recovery is lower than in males. This is believed to be the result of the progesterone hormone [20].

4.5.1.3. Co-morbidity

Co-morbidities are associated with post-operative complications at all ages. According to findings in colorectal surgery patients with colorectal inflammation, the effects of pneumonia and blood clots may be increased [21], which has also been found in colorectal cancer patients with concomitant heart disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease as risk factors for postoperative complications [22]. In patients aged 70 years and over, heart disease and lung disease are common, with heart disease having an incidence of 51.1%. This group has significantly increased post-operative colorectal surgery complications [23].

4.5.1.4. Nutrition Status

Malnutrition results in delayed post-operative recovery and return to normal intestinal function [24].

4.5.1.5. Preoperative Physical Activity

Patients with strong preoperative conditions are more likely to have better physical and mental recovery with shorter hospital days [25]. Consistently, those who perform well in low-level preoperative bodily functions are significantly associated with lower post-operative performance on the 6-minute walk test (6MWT) [26].

4.5.1.6. Type of Surgery

Emergency surgery increases the risk of post-operative complications more than elective surgery [27]. In an emergency surgery group, preoperative increases in IL-1 β , IL-6, and CRP levels led to lower post-operative survival rates than in the elective surgical group [28].

High blood loss from colorectal surgery (250 ml or more) could be a sign of a longer hospital stay and more complications [29]. Additionally, anemia can generate short-term clinical effects and affect overall survival in the long run [30].

4.6. Psychological Factors

4.6.1. Depressive Symptom

In patients undergoing colorectal cancer surgery, even mild depression can result in decreased ability to perform functions and activities [31].

4.6.2. Anxiety

High and moderate pre- and post-operative anxiety are important factors in reducing post-operative efficacy and immunity in colorectal cancer surgery patients, which affects the wound healing process [32].

4.7. Social Factors

Social Connectedness: People with good social support are comforted, which reduces preoperative anxiety and pain while predicting post-operative recovery [33].

4.8. Habitual Factors

Smoking: Regular smokers can have complications involving the respiratory system and disrupting the wound healing process [34, 35].

4.9. Consequences

Consequences are conditions or events occurring as a result of the proposed concept [17]. The consequences of post-operative recovery in abdominal surgery patients can be grouped into five main categories:1)Decreased unpleasant physical symptoms; 2)Regained functions and re-established activities; 3) A degree of emotional wellbeing; 4) Independence and return to everyday life; 5) Ability to resume normal activities.

4.9.1. Decreased Unpleasant Physical Symptoms (Pain, Fatigue, Nausea and Vomiting, and Abdominal Discomfort)

There should be no signs of pain or undesirable feelings while the patient is taking oral analgesics or mobilizing.

4.9.2. Regained Functions and Re-established Activities

Improved muscle function, signs of bowel movement (flatulence), and higher tolerance of oral intake (no bloating or pain after consumption); increased lung capacity evidenced by higher activity tolerance.

4.9.3. A Degree of Emotional Well-being

Stress and anxiety are manageable, leading to emotional security and contentment. The patients can adjust themselves, admit physical changes, and fully cooperate in the healing process.

4.9.4. Ability to Resume Normal Activities

Physical performance and capacity are restored. Patients confidently perform the activities of daily living (going to the toilet, getting dressed, taking a shower, and walking upstairs). Patients can practice self-care properly, be confident in accomplishing tasks independently, and return to social engagement.

4.10. Empirical Referents

The way to frame the concepts of abdominal surgery recovery involves setting the measurement of the defined attributes to ensure overall assessment. Many tools are currently being created to evaluate recovery. Most designed tools provide a comprehensive assessment of the features in each dimension of recovery and are well-suited for evaluating different recovery scenarios at different times, depending on the user's choice of tools. The literature revealed that several studies have identified methods for assessing recovery in post-operative patients, including patient interviews, physical performance tests, and recovery instruments. To summarize, the measurement approach that corresponds with the characteristics of recovery evaluation is as follows: Physical recovery can be measured by directly talking to patients (tolerance of oral foods, excretion, flatulence and defecation, having no pain at rest while moving and coughing) and physical performance tests (six-minute walk test, incremental shuttle walk test, stair climbing test). Recovery can also be evaluated by using instruments. The Ouality of Recovery questionnaire (OoR-40), developed to evaluate the quality of post-operative recovery under general anesthesia, consists of 40 questions divided into five dimensions: emotional state, physical comfort, patient support, physical independence, and pain [34].

Post-operative recovery can be assessed by patient perception, using the current recovery self-assessment, namely the Post-operative Quality of Recovery Score (PORS) [36, 37], 24-h Functional Ability Ouestionnaire (24h FAQ) [38], Post-Discharge Surgical Recovery Scale (PSR) [39], Abdominal Surgery Impact Scale [40], Convalescence and Recovery Evaluation (CARE) [41], and Short-Form 36/RAND-36. [42] These assessments are evaluating for intermediate suitable recovery. Comprehensive 4-dimensional assessment is described as follows: restoration of normal eating, drinking, and hygiene; loss of anxiety, depression, or fatigue; mobilization and rehabilitation to full social functioning; and changing from a state of illness to a state of health.

Returning to daily routines, as well as interacting with others, are characteristics of the last phase of recovery. Quality of life is, therefore, assessed to evaluate desirable attributes. The short form 36 (SF-36) is an instrument for the evaluation of surgical outcomes. It consists of 36 items and is divided into 8 aspects: physical (physical functioning, physical role, and bodily pain), psychological (vitality, emotional roles, mental health), and social (social functioning). Each domain is scored on a scale of 0-100, with higher scores representing bodily functions and wellbeing [42].

5. DISCUSSION

Concept analysis pertaining to the 8-step framework of Walker and Avant enhances understanding of the definitions, attributes, and scopes of the concepts of abdominal surgery recovery. The analysis results revealed that abdominal surgery recovery is the process of returning to physical health and fitness (without postoperative complications), alleviating unpleasant conditions, and becoming mentally healthy and emotionally stable, as seen by appropriate emotional expression, more engagement in social interactions, and independence and confidence about achieving daily routines and activities.Recovery includes significant sub-attributes depending on different phases. At the early stages of recovery, the process is mainly concerned with abilities to control reflexes as well as body movement. For the intermediate phase of recovery, focus is placed on movement capabilities, ability to accomplish basic activities of daily living (ADL), return of gastrointestinal functions, decreased pain, and return to physical fitness and mental health. At the end phase of recovery, the major criteria are as follows: accomplishment of routine tasks, no request for assistance, and fully engaging in social interactions.

CONCLUSION

The insights from concept analysis enhance the construction of the tools used for the inclusive assessment of patient's abdominal surgery recovery, thereby facilitating relevant research development, and enabling the formulation of nursing theories and nursing practice to promote rapid recovery for target patient groups.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

The author confirms sole responsibility for the following study's conception and design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- GI = Gastro-intestinal
- QoR-40 = The Quality of Recovery questionnaire
- PQRS = Post-operative Quality of Recovery Score
- PSR = Post-Discharge Surgical Recovery Scale
- CARE = Convalescence and Recovery Evaluation
- ADL = Activities of Daily Living

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

Not applicable.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data and supportive information are available within the article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks all credible sources of information for the development of the manuscript and the Royal Thai Air Force Nursing College for additional assistance. My gratitude also goes to my beloved family for moral support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wang Y, Huang Y, Chase RC, et al. Global burden of digestive diseases: A systematic analysis of the global burden of diseases study, 1990 to 2019. Gastroenterology 2023; 165(3): 773-783.e15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2023.05.050 PMID: 37302558
- [2] Ylimartimo AT, Nurkkala J, Koskela M, et al. Postoperative complications and outcome after emergency laparotomy: A retrospective study. World J Surg 2023; 47(1): 119-29. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00268-022-06783-8 PMID: 36245004
- [3] Brodersen F, Wagner J, Uzunoglu FG, Petersen-Ewert C. Impact of preoperative patient education on postoperative recovery in abdominal surgery: A systematic review. World J Surg 2023; 47(4): 937-47. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00268-022-06884-4 PMID: 36641521
- [4] Gümüs K. The effects of preoperative and postoperative anxiety on the quality of recovery in patients undergoing abdominal surgery. J Perianesth Nurs 2021; 36(2): 174-8.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2020.08.016 PMID: 33640291 [5] Cambridge Dictionary Online. Available from:
- https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/ Rooney K. Encarta World English Dictionary. London: Bloomsbury [6]
- Publishing 1999.
- [7] National summit on recovery conference report: Working definition 2006. Available of recovery. from: https://www.opioidlibrary.org/document/national-summit-on-recov ery-conference-report-working-definition-of-recovery/
- [8] Parsons T. The sick role and the role of the physician reconsidered. Milbank Mem Fund Q Health Soc 1975; 53(3): 257-78
- http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3349493 PMID: 1041510
- [9] Mathew ST, Nirmala BP, Kommu JVS. Meaning of recovery among persons with schizophrenia: A literature review. J Psychosoc Rehabil Ment Health 2018; 5(1): 73-81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40737-018-0111-7
- [10] Mathew ST, Nirmala BP, Kommu JVS. Personal meaning of recovery among persons with schizophrenia. Int J Soc Psychiatry 2023; 69(1): 78-85. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/00207640211068370 PMID: 34971523

[11] Nilsson U, Jaensson M, Hugelius K, Arakelian E, Dahlberg K. A journey to a new stable state-further development of the postoperative recovery concept from day surgical perspective: A qualitative study. BMJ Open 2020; 10(9): e037755. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037755 PMID: 32967881

- [12] Allvin R, Berg K, Idvall E, Nilsson U. Postoperative recovery: A concept analysis. J Adv Nurs 2007; 57(5): 552-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2006.04156.x PMID: 17284272
- [13] Allvin R, Ehnfors M, Rawal N, Idvall E. Experiences of the postoperative recovery process: An interview study. Open Nurs J 2008; 2(1): 1-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874434600802010001 PMID:

19319214 [14] Rajabiyazdi F, Alam R, Pal A, et al. Understanding the meaning of recovery to patients undergoing abdominal surgery. JAMA Surg 2021; 156(8): 758-65.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2021.1557 PMID: 33978692

[15] Alam R, Montanez J, Law S, et al. Development of a conceptual framework of recovery after abdominal surgery. Surg Endosc 2020; 34(6): 2665-74.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00464-019-07044-x PMID: 31372888

- [16] Brustia R, Boleslawski E, Monsel A, et al. Definition and prospective assessment of functional recovery after liver transplantation: A new objective consensus-based metric for safe discharge. Liver Transpl 2020; 26(10): 1241-53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lt.25841 PMID: 32621369
- [17] Walker LO, Avant KC. Strategies for theory construction in nursing. Saddle River: NJ:Pearson/Prentice Hall 2005.
- [18] Ay N, Derbent A, Şahin AS, Yalcin N, Çelik M. Variables affecting mortality rates in patients undergoing emergency abdominal surgery: A retrospective cross-sectional study. Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg 2023; 29(4): 505-13. http://dx.doi.org/10.14744/tjtes.2022.01264 PMID: 36995195
- [19] Hacım NA, Akbaş A, Ulgen Y, et al. Association of preoperative risk factors and mortality in older patients following emergency abdominal surgery: A retrospective cohort study. Ann Geriatr Med Res 2021; 25(4): 252-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.4235/agmr.21.0104 PMID: 34871476
- [20] Diego L. Anesthesia and women's peculiarities. Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992) 2023: e2023S103. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1806-9282.2023S103.
- [21] Schlick CJR, Yuce TK, Yang AD, et al. A postdischarge venous thromboembolism risk calculator for inflammatory bowel disease surgery. Surgery 2021; 169(2): 240-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2020.09.006 PMID: 33077197
- [22] Flynn DE, Mao D, Yerkovich ST, et al. The impact of comorbidities on post-operative complications following colorectal cancer surgery. PLoS One 2020; 15(12): e0243995. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243995 PMID: 33362234
- [23] Basso C, Gennaro N, Dotto M, et al. Congestive heart failure and comorbidity as determinants of colorectal cancer perioperative outcomes. Updates Surg 2022; 74(2): 609-17. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13304-021-01086-4 PMID: 34115323
- [24] Ścisło L, Bodys-Cupak I, Walewska E, Kózka M. Nutritional status indicators as predictors of postoperative complications in the elderly with gastrointestinal cancer. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022; 19(20): 13453. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192013453 PMID: 36294035
- [25] Whelan M, van Aswegen H, Roos R, Fabian J, Bebington B. Preoperative physical activity and functional performance levels are predictors of acute postoperative outcomes in a private South African colorectal cancer cohort. S Afr J Physiother 2021; 77(1): 1526.

http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/sajp.v77i1.1526 PMID: 34355106

- [26] Argillander TE, Heil TC, Melis RJF, van Duijvendijk P, Klaase JM, van Munster BC. Preoperative physical performance as predictor of postoperative outcomes in patients aged 65 and older scheduled for major abdominal cancer surgery: A systematic review. Eur J Surg Oncol 2022; 48(3): 570-81.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2021.09.019 PMID: 34629224 [27] Dharap SB, Barbaniya P, Navgale S. Incidence and risk factors of

postoperative complications in general surgery patients. Cureus 2022; 14(11): e30975.

http://dx.doi.org/10.7759/cureus.30975 PMID: 36465229

[28] Zhao J, Zhang T, Deng Z, Han X, Ma T, Xie K. Evaluation of biomarkers from peritoneal fluid as predictors of severity for abdominal sepsis patients following emergency laparotomy. J Inflamm Res 2023; 16: 809-26.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/JIR.S401428 PMID: 36876154

- [29] Kang B, Liu XY, Li ZW, et al. The effect of the intraoperative blood loss and intraoperative blood transfusion on the short-term outcomes and prognosis of colorectal cancer: A propensity score matching analysis. Front Surg 2022; 9: 837545. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.837545 PMID: 35445077
- [30] Dru RC, Curtis NJ, Court EL, et al. Impact of anaemia at discharge following colorectal cancer surgery. Int J Colorectal Dis 2020; 35(9): 1769-76. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00384-020-03611-0 PMID: 32488418
- [31] Tang VL, Cenzer I, McCulloch CE, et al. Preoperative depressive symptoms associated with poor functional recovery after surgery. J Am Geriatr Soc 2020; 68(12): 2814-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16781 PMID: 32898280
- [32] Villa G, Lanini I, Amass T, et al. Effects of psychological interventions on anxiety and pain in patients undergoing major elective abdominal surgery: A systematic review. Perioper Med (Lond) 2020; 9(1): 38.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13741-020-00169-x PMID: 33292558

- [33] Orlas CP, Herrera-Escobar JP, Hau KM, et al. Perceived social support is strongly associated with recovery after injury. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2021; 91(3): 552-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/TA.00000000003230 PMID: 34108418
- [34] Fan Chiang YH, Lee YW, Lam F, Liao CC, Chang CC, Lin CS. Smoking increases the risk of postoperative wound complications: A propensity score-matched cohort study. Int Wound J 2023; 20(2): 391-402.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13887 PMID: 35808947
- [35] Yoshikawa R, Katada J. Effects of active smoking on postoperative

outcomes in hospitalised patients undergoing elective surgery: A retrospective analysis of an administrative claims database in Japan. BMJ Open 2019; 9(10): e029913.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029913 PMID: 31575535

- [36] Myles PS, Weitkamp B, Jones K, Melick J, Hensen S. Validity and reliability of a postoperative quality of recovery score: The QoR-40. Br J Anaesth 2000; 84(1): 11-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.bja.a013366 PMID: 10740540
- [37] Royse CF, Newman S, Chung F, et al. Development and feasibility of a scale to assess postoperative recovery: The post-operative quality recovery scale. Anesthesiology 2010; 113(4): 892-905. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181d960a9 PMID: 20601860
- [38] Hogue SL, Reese PR, Colopy M, et al. Assessing a tool to measure patient functional ability after outpatient surgery. Anesth Analg 2000; 91(1): 97-106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1213/00000539-200007000-00019 PMID: 10866894
- [39] Kleinbeck SVM. Self-reported at-home postoperative recovery. Res Nurs Health 2000; 23(6): 461-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1098-240X(200012)23:6<461::AID-NUR 5>3.0.CO;2-S PMID: 11130605
- [40] Urbach DR, Harnish JL, McIlroy JH, Streiner DL. A measure of quality of life after abdominal surgery. Qual Life Res 2006; 15(6): 1053-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11136-006-0047-3 PMID: 16900285
- [41] Hollenbeck BK, Dunn RL, Wolf JS Jr, et al. Development and validation of the convalescence and recovery evaluation (CARE) for measuring quality of life after surgery. Qual Life Res 2008; 17(6): 915-26.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11136-008-9366-x PMID: 18574712
- [42] Antonescu I, Carli F, Mayo NE, Feldman LS. Validation of the SF-36 as a measure of postoperative recovery after colorectal surgery. Surg Endosc 2014; 28(11): 3168-78. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00464-014-3577-8 PMID: 24879142